Application No. 10/772,056

Response dated September 29, 2004

Reply to Office Action of June 29, 2004

Remarks

Claims 1-10 are currently pending in this application.

The Office Action objected to the drawings as not showing every claimed feature, and by

using reference character "16" to designate two items; objected to the Abstract because it uses the

term "disclosed"; rejected claims 1-5, 8, and 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Johnson et

al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,879,882); rejected claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Johnson et al.; and rejected claims 7 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Johnson et al. in view of Mangan (U.S. Patent No. 5,006,375).

With regard to the drawing objections, Applicant amended claims 7 and 10 to render moot

the first drawing objection. Applicant disagrees that reference character "16" is used to designate

two items. Page 4, line 13 of the specification discloses a "[C]lip, clasp or similar retainer

mechanism or device 16". Thus, Applicant appropriately used reference numeral "16" to designate a

clip device, a clasp device, a clip mechanism, a clasp mechanism or other similar retainer mechanism

or device. Note that a clip device is a type of retainer mechanism. In light of this, Applicant

respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the objections to the drawings.

Applicant addressed the objection to the Abstract by revising the Abstract to omit the term

"disclosed". Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of this objection.

Applicant respectfully traverses the prior art rejections for the following reasons. Claims 1-

10 recite a combination of elements, including an interchangeable entertainment object that is age

appropriate and made of colors, materials, and shapes that entertain and pacify a young child.

In contrast, Johnson et al. fails to disclose or suggest an interchangeable entertainment object

that is age appropriate and made of colors, materials, and shapes that entertain and pacify a young

357126_1.DOC

Attorney Docket No. 11845*1

Page 6 of 8

Application No. 10/772,056

Response dated September 29, 2004

Reply to Office Action of June 29, 2004

child, and the Office Action failed to provide a cite to such disclosure in the reference. Rather,

Johnson et al. merely disclose a pendant having decorative elements that are interchangeable by the

wearer. The reference fails to disclose or suggest that the decorative elements are age appropriate

and made of colors, materials, and shapes that entertain and pacify a young child. Nor can Johnson

et al. possibly disclose this because the object of this reference's invention is to provide "jewelry

designs in which decorative elements thereof can be easily changed by the wearer to provide a

personalized appearance." (Col. 1, lines 15-17). In fact, the decorative elements shown in the

Figures of Johnson et al. pose a dangerous choking hazard for young children, and are thus

inappropriate for young children. The Johnson et al. reference is directed to providing personalized

jewelry for fashion purposes, and neither contemplates nor suggests that the decorative elements are

to be used to entertain or pacify young children.

The Office Action relied upon Mangan to show an ornamental object having a liquid

provided therein. This reference is most in light of the amendments to claims 7 and 10. However,

Mangan also fails to disclose or suggest an interchangeable entertainment object that is age

appropriate and made of colors, materials, and shapes that entertain and pacify a young child, as

recited in claims 1-10.

In light of the above, Applicant respectfully submits that the prior art of record, whether taken

alone or in any reasonable combination, fail to disclose or suggest the invention recited in claims 1-

10. Applicant, therefore, requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the prior art rejections of these

claims.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests the

reconsideration of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

357126_1.DOC

Attorney Docket No. 11845*1

Page 7 of 8

Application No. 10/772,056

Response dated September 29, 2004

Reply to Office Action of June 29, 2004

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the

fees to our Deposit Account No. 03-2775. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37

C.F.R. § 1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the fee should also be

charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

Dated: September 29, 2004

Reg. No. 40,408

Telephone: (302) 888-6256

Facsimile: (302) 255-4256

357126_1.DOC Attorney Docket No. 11845*1

Page 8 of 8